

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,528	09/19/2003	Donald McCollor	EER.P0014	5892
30163 7	590 02/22/2006		EXAMINER	
JOHNSON & PO BOX 9069	ASSOCIATES		HERTZOG, ARDITH E	
AUSTIN, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1754	

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 11 11 11		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/666,528	MCCOLLOR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ardith E. Hertzog	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timustill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	V. they filed the mailing date of this comm (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 De	ecember 2005		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allower		secution as to the m	orite ie
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		511(5 15
closed in accordance with the practice under 2	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	J. O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 50-76</u> is/are pending in the a	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 50-76</u> are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirement.		
0,2			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)	I-(d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 33 0.3.3. § 119(a)	-(u) or (i).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received		
		on No	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •		200
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	tu in tins National Sta	ige
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ام.	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.	
Attachment(s)			
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		(2)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-15	۷.,
1 1			

Application Number: 10/666,528 Page 2 of 4

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment - Election/Restriction

- 1. This action is in response to the "Response to Restriction Requirement" filed December 13, 2005. Applicant's election of the invention of **Group 1**, claims 1-19, per the Restriction Requirement mailed October 13, 2005 (hereinafter "the 10/4/05" requirement") with traverse in said response is acknowledged. However, because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (see MPEP § 818.03(a)). Furthermore, applicant has cancelled original claims 20-49, corresponding to Groups 2-4 in the 10/4/05 requirement, and presented new claims 50-76, asserting that all pending claims fall within **Group 1**. Applicant's remarks have been carefully considered, but it is respectfully disagreed that each of the new claims falls within **Group 1** of the 10/4/05 requirement. **Moreover**, given the amendment to the claims, the 10/4/05 requirement is no longer considered applicable. Thus, the 10/4/05 requirement has been withdrawn, and, in accordance with MPEP §§ 811-811.02, a **new** restriction requirement is hereby made; please note that applicant is free to elect either one of the following groups for prosecution on the merits, with the election of prior Group 1 now considered moot.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
 - Group 1. Claims 1-19 and 59-76, drawn to methods of capturing gas phase pollutants in a combustion system (having an air preheater)

Application Number: 10/666,528 Page 3 of 4

Art Unit: 1754

comprising, at the least, creating a model of the combustion system, classified in class 423, subclass 210+.

Group 2. Claims 50-58, drawn to a combustion system, classified in class 431, subclass 18+.

- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other, because of the following reasons:
- 4. The inventions of **Group 1** and **Group 2** are related as process and apparatus (system) for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that **either**: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, **or** (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process (see MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus, as evinced by the **Group 1** claims, wherein the **specific** apparatus of **Group 2** is not required.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and because the search required for **Group 1** is not required for **Group 2**, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed, per 37 CFR § 1.143.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.48(b) if

Application Number: 10/666,528 Page 4 of 4

Art Unit: 1754

one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR § 1.17(i).

Conclusion

- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ardith E. Hertzog at 571-272-1347. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (from about 8:00 a.m. 4:00 p.m.).
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman, can be reached at 571-272-1358. The central fax number for all communications is now 571-273-8300.
- 10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. For any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

INOLOGY CERTALE TO LA

February 6, 2006